

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 09/943,500 08/31/2001 Yoshio Okamoto 503.40576X00 8164 20457 7590 10/03/2003 **EXAMINER** ANTONELLI, TERRY, STOUT & KRAUS, LLP MILLER, CARL STUART 1300 NORTH SEVENTEENTH STREET PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT **SUITE 1800** ARLINGTON, VA 22209-9889 3747

DATE MAILED: 10/03/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•			<b>,</b>
<u></u>		Application No.	plicant(s)
Ŷ		09/943,500	OKAMOTO ET AL.
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
		Carl S. Miller	3747
Period for f		cation appears on the cover shee	t with the correspondence address
THE MA - Extension after SIX - If the perior of the period of the perior of the perior of the perior of the period	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO ALLING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC and the may be available under the provisions of a (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commu- iod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30 and for reply is specified above, the maximum state a reply within the set or extended period for reply of the received by the Office later than three months and atent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION.  of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, manurication.  of days, a reply within the statutory minimum of tutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) will, by statute, cause the application to become	by a reply be timely filed  If thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  The ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1)□ F	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on	
2a) <u> </u>	This action is FINAL.	2b) This action is non-final.	
	losed in accordance with the practi		matters, prosecution as to the merits is C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
· _	laim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the a	application.	
4a	) Of the above claim(s) is/ar	e withdrawn from consideration.	
5)□ C	laim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)□ C	aim(s) is/are rejected.		
7) C	aim(s) is/are objected to.		
8)⊠ C	aim(s) <u>1-11</u> are subject to restrictio	on and/or election requirement.	
Application	ı Papers		
9) <u></u> Th	e specification is objected to by the	Examiner.	
10) <u> </u>	e drawing(s) filed on is/are:	a) accepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.
	Applicant may not request that any obje	- · ·	•
	e proposed drawing correction filed		disapproved by the Examiner.
	f approved, corrected drawings are req		
	e oath or declaration is objected to	by the Examiner.	
	der 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
	cknowledgment is made of a claim	for foreign priority under 35 U.S.	C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) <u></u>	All b) Some * c) None of:		
	<u> </u>	documents have been received.	
2.	Certified copies of the priority of	documents have been received	n Application No
	Copies of the certified copies of application from the Internate the attached detailed Office action	ational Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a	1)).
14) <u></u> Ack	nowledgment is made of a claim fo	or domestic priority under 35 U.S	.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application)
	The translation of the foreign lang		
Attachment(s)	_	, <del>-</del>	
2) Notice o	f References Cited (PTO-892) f Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT ion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Pa	TO-948) 5) Notice	iew Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) e of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
S. Patent and Trade TO-326 (Rev. (		Office Action Summary	Part of Paper No. 3

Application/Control Number: 09/943,500

Art Unit: 3747

.(

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: Figures 10 and 11, respectively

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1,6,7, and 8 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Application/Control Number: 09/943,500

Art Unit: 3747

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Carl Miller at telephone number 703-308-2653.

Carl S. Miller Primary Examiner